

Corrigendum – Parallel Panels

Wednesday, 7 November

Accountability, Legitimacy and Democracy 12 – Localness of Earth System Governance: Smallholders and Communities and the International Environmental Regime Complex

Changed: Benno Pokorny and Pablo Pacheco replace Wil de Jong as chair.

Architecture 18 – Water Governance: Collaboration, Interplay and Local Varieties.

Removed: Form follows Function? Problems of Interplay in Mongolia's Water Governance Framework and Lessons for Water Governance Architectures in Developing Countries. *Mirja Schoderer, Ines Dombrowsky.*

Changed: Sanchayan Nath replaces Diego Osorio as chair.

Adaptiveness, Resilience and Transformation 13 – Together We Prevail, Divided We Fail: Collaborative Governance

Changed: Marjanneke Vijge replaces Jean Carlo Rodriguez as chair.

Agency 19 – Discourses, Meaning and Sense-making in Environmental Governance.

Changed: Lisa Sanderink replaces Lars Gulbrandsen as chair.

Theoretical and Methodological Foundations 5 – Model-based Research Approaches

Removed: Utilizing Local Initiatives and Bottom-up Scenarios to Enhance IAMs and Inform Policymakers. *Rohan Bhargava, Joost Vervoort, Laura Pereira, Timon McPhearson*

Theoretical and Methodological Foundations 6 – Scenarios, Foresight and Anticipation

Removed: Opening up Anthropocene Futures: Integrating Pluralistic, Practice-based Bottom-up Pathways into Global Environmental Assessments. *Mandy van den Ende*

Corrigendum – Innovative Sessions

Wednesday, 7 November

Innovative Session 5 – Low-Carbon Finance

Correction: Session is titled "Beyond the 'Finance Gap' – An Interactive Panel Discussion on Governing Low-Carbon Finance"

Innovative Session 7 – Roundtable Discussion on the Role of Philanthropic Foundations in Environmental Governance

Correction:

Session participants: Rebecca Gruby, Ash Enrici, Linda Shi, Yoshitaka Oto, Daniel Charles Miller, Agni Kalfagianni.

There has been little research on the role of foundations in environmental governance. How and why do foundations influence environmental governance, and with what outcomes? Relative to other actors, are foundations better situated to take risks in policy experimentation and innovation? How and to whom are they held accountable? What is the staying power of an environmental policy agenda advanced through organized philanthropy? These are just a few of the many empirical, normative, and theoretical questions this topic generates for environmental governance scholars.

This roundtable will bring together scholars with active research agendas on and/or practical experience with philanthropic foundations to discuss key questions for a research agenda on this important topic. Panelists will provide brief overviews of their work on environmental philanthropy, and will be asked to share their reflections on the following questions: What are the priority questions for a research agenda on the role of foundations in environmental governance? How can a research agenda on environmental philanthropy advance both theory and practice in environmental governance? This roundtable discussion cuts across conference themes of power, agency, and accountability, and will be of relevance to anyone with an interest in the role of non-state actors in environmental governance.

Innovative Session 9 – Roundtable on Knowledge Production and Validation in Global Megatrends and Earth System Governance: Power, Gender and Intersectional Issues

Correction:

Session conveners: Sarah Cornell, Andrea Downing, Grace Wong

Discussants: Maria Brockhaus, Cristina Inoue, Aysem Mert

We are interested in the narratives produced at the juncture of earth system research and big global policy programs, like Agenda 2030. Both knowledge and ignorance are shaped by relations of power. Framing "problems" in a certain way gives legitimacy to specific "solutions". Knowledge is produced, not found – and currently, the underlying assumptions of knowledge production in global earth

system megatrends are largely ignored.

In this roundtable session, we will probe the discourses of knowledge production in earth system governance. We take our direction from Donna Haraway's (1988) critique of un-situated knowledges: "the god trick" in science, which makes knowledge appear objective, universal, and simple, without acknowledging its roots. We start this roundtable with three provocations:

1. Global change research and policy design claim to incorporate multiple perspectives but in fact merely present them as extra variables to be considered.
2. A self-reinforcing feedback is created by lack of diversity at the top of the structures that generate knowledge that feeds practice and so on around again.
3. The aim of the earth system governance research community should be to envision socially differentiated and gendered humanity in a way that transforms unequal power dynamics rather than reinforcing them.

The underlying aim of this roundtable is to contribute to:

- § [research-culture creation] A research culture that recognises that without talking about power, we can't talk about social inequality and oppression, including gender inequality
- § [real-world culture change] A flow-on effect to policy and program design (in research and practice) in which social and gender justice are deeply embedded. We want to make big global programs start to think about gender – in ways that allow for diversity and gender equality rather than business as usual
- § [information/experience sharing] A compilation of already-existing building blocks of methods and analysis that deal with power, gender and intersectionality in global megatrends and their governance

Corrigendum – Taskforce and Meeting Day

Thursday, 8 November

Cancelled: Resilience Meeting

Cancelled: ESGRREW meeting: Where Law meets Justice: Key Challenges and Perspectives for the Environment